



PATENT APPLICATION

Docket No.: 14374.34

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:)
)
Artig et al.)
)
Serial No: 09/694,568) Art Unit
) 2882
Confirmation No.: 9789)
)
Filed: October 23, 2000)
)
For: X-RAY TUBE AND METHOD)
OF MANUFACTURE)
)
Examiner: Allen C. Ho)

CERTIFICATE OF DEPOSIT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.8

I hereby certify that following documents are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to: Box: Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this 10th day of March, 2004.

- Transmittal of Issue Fee (2 pages) (in duplicate)
- PTO Form PTOL-85B transmitting payment of Issue Fee
- Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance
- PTO-2038 Credit Card Payment Form for \$1,333.00
- Postcard

Respectfully submitted,

ERIC L. MASCHOFF
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 36,596
Customer No. 022913

RICK D. NYDEGGER
BRENT P. LORIMER
THOMAS R. VUKSINICK
LARRY R. LAYCOCK
JONATHAN W. RICHARDS
DAVID R. WRIGHT
JOHN C. STRINGHAM
JOHN M. GUINN
CHARLES L. ROBERTS
DANA L. TANGREN
ERIC L. MASCHOFF
CHARLES J. VEVERKA
ROBYN L. PHILLIPS
RICHARD C. GILMORE *
STERLING A. BRENNAN *
GREGORY M. TAYLOR
DAVID B. DELLENBACH
R. BURNS ISRAELSEN
DAVID R. TODD
L. DAVID GRIFFIN
ADRIAN J. LEE
FRASER D. ROY
CARL T. REED




R. PARRISH FREEMAN, JR.
PETER F. MALEN, JR.
L. REX SEARS, PH.D.
ERIC M. KAMERATH
ROBERT E. AYCOCK
JENS C. JENKINS
KEVIN W. STINGER
WILLIAM J. ATHAY
MICHAEL B. DODD
WILLIAM R. RICHTER
RYAN D. BENSON
SARA D. JONES
TIGE KELLER
JANNA L. JENSEN
MATTHEW D. TODD
J. LAVAR OLDHAM
MICHAEL J. FRODSHAM
JOSEPH L. KRUPA
BRETT A. HANSEN
BRETT I. JOHNSON
MATTHEW A. BARLOW
WESLEY C. ROSANDER
ANDREW S. HANSEN
CHAD E. NYDEGGER
JOSEPH G. PIA
CLINTON E. DUKE

WORKMAN | NYDEGGER

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ATTORNEYS

1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84111
TELEPHONE: (801) 533-9800
FAX: (801) 328-1707
WEBSITE: HTTP://WWW.WNLAW.COM



PATENT APPLICATION

Docket No: 14374.34

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:)
)
Artig et al.)
)
Serial No: 09/694,568) Art Unit
) 2882
Confirmation No.: 9789)
)
Filed: October 23, 2000)
)
For: X-RAY TUBE AND METHOD)
OF MANUFACTURE)
)
Examiner: Allen C. Ho)

TRANSMITTAL OF ISSUE FEE PAYMENT

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due dated February 19, 2004, please find enclosed herewith the following items for filing in the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with the above identified patent application:

- X Issue Fee Transmittal (PTOL-85B) submitted pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.311.
- X A Certificate of Deposit Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.8 is enclosed.

- X Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance.
- X PTO-2038 Credit Card Payment Form for \$1,333.00 is enclosed to cover:
 - X The \$1,330.00 government issue fee pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.18.
 - X The \$3.00 fee for one (1) copy of the patent when issued.
- X The Commission is hereby authorized to charge payment of any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 23-3178.
- X A duplicate copy of this letter is enclosed.

Please address all future correspondence in connection with the above-identified patent application to the attention of the undersigned.

Dated this 10th day of March, 2004.

Respectfully submitted,



ERIC L. MASCHOFF
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 36,596
Customer No. 022913



PATENT APPLICATION
Docket No: 14374.34

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Artig et al.)
Serial No: 09/694,568) Art Unit
Filed: October 23, 2000) 2882
Confirmation No.: 9789)
For: X-RAY TUBE AND METHOD OF MANUFACTURE)
Examiner: Allen C. Ho)

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance as set forth in the communication mailed on February 19, 2004. The Applicant concurs with the Examiner's conclusion that the prior art does not suggest or render obvious the claimed invention. However, Applicant submits that it is the claim as a whole, rather than any particular limitation, that makes each of the claims in the above-identified application allowable. No single limitation should be construed as the reason for allowance of a claim because it is each of the elements of the claim that distinguish the claim from the prior art and make it allowable.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 10, 2004

By: *Eric L. Maschoff*
ERIC L. MASCHOFF
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 36,596
Customer No. 022913